

The Jury are satisfied that [REDACTED] killed himself while the balance of his mind was disturbed.

The Jury believe that [REDACTED]'s death was contributed to by neglect and that there was a gross failure to provide basic medical care to Mr [REDACTED].

The Jury agree that Mr [REDACTED] was in a dependant position by reason of his being in prison. The Jury believe that it would have been obvious to those treating Mr [REDACTED] that he did require basic medical care. The Jury also believe that there is a clear and direct causal connection between the failures for rendering care and that if these failures had not occurred, this may have prevented Mr [REDACTED]'s death.

We the Jury are satisfied based on the balance of probability that it is more likely than not that the following failings taken together played more than a minimal or trivial part in Mr [REDACTED]'s death :-

- original assessment and prescription being based on Mr [REDACTED]'s say so.
- Failure to follow up on referrals to outreach team.
- Lack of specialist training.
- Failure in applying procedures and guidance in the 2052 SH.
- Failure of key documents and prescription to arrive and be processed with [REDACTED] Mr [REDACTED].
- Failure to refer to the IMR before assessments.
- Failure in overseeing relevant care [REDACTED] processes and services.